Simultaneous prediction for independent Poisson processes with different durations

Fumiyasu Komaki [1,2]

[1] Department of Mathematical Informatics

Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo

7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, JAPAN

komaki@mist.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[2] RIKEN Brain Science Institute

2-1 Hirosawa, Wako City, Saitama 351-0198, JAPAN

arXiv:1401.8080v2 [math.ST] 3 Feb 2014

Abstract

Simultaneous predictive densities for independent Poisson observables are investigated. The observed data and the target variables to be predicted are independently distributed according to different Poisson distributions parametrized by the same parameter. The performance of predictive densities is evaluated by the Kullback–Leibler divergence. A class of prior distributions depending on the objective of prediction is introduced. A Bayesian predictive density based on a prior in this class dominates the Bayesian predictive density based on the Jeffreys prior.

Keywords: harmonic time, Jeffreys prior, Kullback–Leibler divergence, predictive density, predictive metric, shrinkage prior

1 Introduction

Suppose that x_i $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ are independently distributed according to the Poisson distribution with mean $r_i\lambda_i$ and that y_i $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ are independently distributed according to the Poisson distribution with mean $s_i\lambda_i$. Then,

$$p(x \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(r_i\lambda_i)^{x_i}}{x_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-r_i\lambda_i}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$p(y \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(s_i\lambda_i)^{y_i}}{y_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-s_i\lambda_i}, \tag{2}$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$. Here, $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ is the unknown parameter, and $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_d)$ and $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ are known positive constants. The objective is to construct a predictive density $\hat{p}(y; x)$ for y by using x.

The performance of $\hat{p}(y; x)$ is evaluated by the Kullback–Leibler divergence

$$D(p(y \mid \lambda), \hat{p}(y; x)) := \sum_{y} p(y \mid \lambda) \log \frac{p(y \mid \lambda)}{\hat{p}(y; x)}$$

from the true density $p(y \mid \lambda)$ to the predictive density $\hat{p}(y; x)$. The risk function is given by

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[D(p(y \mid \lambda), \hat{p}(y; x)) \,\Big|\, \lambda\Big] = \sum_x \sum_y p(x \mid \lambda) p(y \mid \lambda) \log \frac{p(y \mid \lambda)}{\hat{p}(y; x)}.$$

It is widely recognized that Bayesian predictive densities

$$p_\pi(y \mid x) := \frac{\int p(y \mid \lambda) p(x \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda}{\int p(x \mid \lambda) \pi(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda},$$

where $\mathrm{d}\lambda = \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d$, constructed by using a prior π perform better than plug-in densities $p(y \mid \hat{\lambda})$ constructed by replacing the unknown parameter λ by an estimate $\hat{\lambda}(x)$. The choice of π becomes important to construct a Bayesian predictive density.

The Jeffreys prior

$$\pi_{\mathrm{J}}(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \propto \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \lambda_d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \tag{3}$$

for $p(x \mid \lambda)$ is proportional to the Jeffreys prior for $p(y \mid \lambda)$ and the volume element prior $\pi_{\mathrm{P}}(\lambda)$ with respect to the predictive metric discussed in section 4. A natural class of priors including the Jeffreys prior is

$$\pi_\beta(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d := \lambda_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d - 1} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d,$$

where $\beta_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$.

We introduce a class of priors defined by

$$\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d := \frac{\lambda_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d - 1}}{(\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d)^\alpha} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d,$$

where $0 \le \alpha \le \beta_\bullet := \sum_i \beta_i$, $\beta_i > 0$, and $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$. In the following, a dot as a subscript indicates summation over the corresponding index. Note that $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \propto \pi_{\alpha,\beta,c\gamma}$, where $c > 0$ and $c\gamma = (c\gamma_1, \ldots, c\gamma_d)$. The prior $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ does not depend on $\gamma := (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$ if $\alpha = 0$. If $\alpha > 0$, $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ puts more weight on parameter values close to 0 than π_β does. In this sense, $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ with $\alpha > 0$ is a shrinkage prior.

There have been several studies for the simple setting $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_d$ and $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_d$. Decision theoretic properties of linear estimators under the Kullback–Leibler loss is studied by Ghosh & Yang (1988). The theory for Bayesian predictive densities for the Poisson model is a generalization of that for Bayesian estimators under the Kullback–Leibler loss. A class of priors $\pi_{\alpha,\beta} := \pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ with $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_d = 1$ is introduced in Komaki (2004). It is shown that the risk of the Bayesian predictive density based on $\pi_{\tilde{\alpha},\beta}$ with $\tilde{\alpha} := \beta_\bullet - 1$ is smaller than the risk of that based on π_β if $\beta_\bullet > 1$. For example, if $d \ge 3$, there exists a Bayesian predictive density that dominates the Bayesian predictive density $p_{\mathrm{J}}(y \mid x)$ based on the Jeffreys prior because $\beta_\bullet = d/2 > 1$. Here, $p_\pi(y \mid x)$ is said to dominate $p_{\mathrm{J}}(y \mid x)$ if the risk of $p_\pi(y \mid x)$ is not greater than that of $p_{\mathrm{J}}(y \mid x)$ for all λ and the strict inequality holds for at least one point λ in the parameter space.

Bayesian predictive densities based on shrinkage priors are discussed by Komaki (2001) and George *et al.* (2006) for normal models. See also George *et al.* (2012) for recent developments

of the theory of predictive densities. In practical applications, it often occurs that observed data x and the target variable y to be predicted have different distributions parametrized by the same parameter. Regression models with the same parameter and different explanatory variable values are a typical example. Kobayashi & Komaki (2008) and George & Xu (2008) showed that shrinkage priors are useful for constructing Bayesian predictive densities for normal linear regression models. Komaki (2013) has studied asymptotic theory for general models other than normal models when $x(i)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ and y have different distributions $p(x \mid \theta)$ and $p(y \mid \theta)$, respectively, with the same parameter θ. However, there has been few studies on nonasymptotic theories of Bayesian predictive densities for non-normal models when the distributions of x and y are different.

In the present paper, we develop finite sample theory for prediction when the data x and the target variable y have different Poisson distributions (1) and (2), respectively, with the same parameter λ. The proposed prior depends not only on r corresponding to the data distribution but also on s corresponding to the objective of prediction. Thus, we need to abandon the context invariance of the prior, see e.g. Dawid (1983). The Bayesian predictive densities studied in the present paper are not represented by using widely known functions such as gamma or beta functions, contrary to the simple setting $r_1 = \cdots = r_d$ and $s_1 = \cdots = s_d$ (Komaki, 2004). However, the predictive densities are represented by introducing a generalization of the Beta function, and the results are proved analytically.

In section 2, we formulate the problem as prediction for time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes and the risk function is represented as an integral with respect to the time. In section 3, we show that a Bayesian predictive density based on a prior in the introduced class $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ dominates that based on π_β if $\beta. > 1$. The harmonic time τ for the time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes is introduced to prove the results. In section 4, we discuss several properties of the proposed prior and the harmonic time τ.

2 Evaluation of risk

We formulate the problem as prediction for time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes and obtain a useful expression of the risk.

Let $t_i(\tau)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, d)$ be smooth monotonically increasing functions of $\tau \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $t_i(0) = r_i$ and $t_i(1) = r_i + s_i$. Let $z_i(\tau)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, d)$ be independent time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes with mean $t_i(\tau)\lambda_i$ and time τ. Then, the density of $z(\tau)$ is

$$p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i},$$

where $z(\tau) := (z_1(\tau), \ldots, z_d(\tau))$, and the distributions of $z_i(0)$ and $z_i(1) - z_i(0)$ are identical with those of x_i and y_i, respectively. Since $z(0)$ and $z(1) - z(0)$ are independent, prediction of y based on x is equivalent to prediction of $z(1) - z(0)$ based on $z(0)$. We identify x and y with $z(0)$ and $z(1) - z(0)$, respectively.

Let $z_\Delta(\tau) := z(\tau+\Delta) - z(\tau)$. Then, $z_{\Delta=1}(0)$ corresponds to y. The density of $z_\Delta(\tau)$ is

$$p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{[\{t_i(\tau+\Delta) - t_i(\tau)\}\lambda_i]^{(z_\Delta)_i}}{(z_\Delta)_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)\}\lambda_i}.$$

We designate the prediction of $z_\Delta(\tau)$ in the limit $\Delta \to 0$ as infinitesimal prediction.

The following lemma represents the risk of the original prediction as an integral of the risk of infinitesimal prediction.

Lemma 1. 1) Let $\pi(\lambda)$ be a prior density. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\Delta}\mathrm{E}\Big[D\{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))\} \;\Big|\; \lambda\Big]\Big|_{\Delta=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} D\{p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z(\tau))\} \qquad (4) \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \dot{t}_i(\tau)\left\{\hat{\lambda}_i^\pi(z(\tau),\tau) - \lambda_i - \lambda_i \log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_i^\pi(z(\tau),\tau)}{\lambda_i}\right\} \;\middle|\; \lambda\right], \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_\pi(z(\tau)) := \int p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda = \int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i}\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^\pi(z(\tau),\tau) := \frac{\int \lambda_i p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\int p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda},$$

and

$$\dot{t}_i(\tau) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} t(\tau).$$

2) Let $\pi(\lambda)$ and $\pi'(\lambda)$ be prior densities, and let $p_\pi(y \mid x)$ and $p_{\pi'}(y \mid x)$ be the corresponding Bayesian predictive densities. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\big[D(p(y \mid \lambda), p_{\pi'}(y \mid x)) \,\big|\, \lambda\big] - \mathrm{E}\big[D(p(y \mid \lambda), p_\pi(y \mid x)) \,\big|\, \lambda\big] \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\Delta}\mathrm{E}\big[D(p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_{\pi'}(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) \mid \lambda]\Big|_{\Delta=0} \mathrm{d}\tau \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\Delta}\mathrm{E}\big[D(p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) \mid \lambda]\Big|_{\Delta=0} \mathrm{d}\tau \qquad (6) \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_i \dot{t}_i(\tau)\left\{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi'}(z(\tau),\tau) - \lambda_i - \lambda_i \log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi'}(z(\tau),\tau)}{\lambda_i}\right\} \;\middle|\; \lambda\right] \mathrm{d}\tau \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_i \dot{t}_i(\tau)\left\{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi}(z(\tau),\tau) - \lambda_i - \lambda_i \log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi}(z(\tau),\tau)}{\lambda_i}\right\} \;\middle|\; \lambda\right] \mathrm{d}\tau. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Equation (5) shows that infinitesimal Bayesian prediction based on π corresponds to the Bayesian estimator $\hat{\lambda}_\pi$. This fact is a generalization of a result discussed in Komaki (2006) when

$r_1 = \cdots = r_d$ and $s_1 = \cdots = s_d$. By (7), if

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_i \dot{t}_i(\tau)\left\{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi'}(z(\tau),\tau) - \hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi}(z(\tau),\tau) - \log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi'}(z(\tau),\tau)}{\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi}(z(\tau),\tau)}\right\} \,\middle|\, \lambda\right]$$

is positive for every $\tau \in [0,1]$ and λ, then the risk of the Bayesian predictive distribution $p_\pi(y \mid x)$ is smaller than that of $p_{\pi'}(y \mid x)$ for every λ. Intuitively speaking, if the estimators $\hat{\lambda}_i^{\pi}(\cdot,\tau)$ based on π is superior in the risk (5) for all $\tau \in [0,1]$, then the Bayesian predictive density $p_\pi(y \mid x)$ is superior in the Kullback–Leibler risk.

3 Bayesian prediction and estimation

We introduce a function to represent Bayesian predictive densities and estimators based on $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Definition 1. Suppose that $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1,\ldots,d)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x_\bullet > 0$, and $0 < \alpha < x_\bullet$. Define

$$K(\gamma, x, \alpha) := \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_i + 1)^{x_i}} \mathrm{d}u.$$

□

When $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_d$,

$$K(\gamma, x, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{(u/\gamma_1 + 1)^{x_\bullet}} \mathrm{d}u = \gamma_1^\alpha B(x_\bullet - \alpha, \alpha).$$

Thus, $K(\gamma, x, \alpha)$ is a generalization of the beta function.

Lemma 2 below gives explicit forms of Bayesian predictive densities based on π_β and $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $z_i(\tau)$ $(i = 1,\ldots,d)$ are independent time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes with mean $t_i(\tau)\lambda_i$. Let $z_\Delta(\tau) = z(\tau+\Delta) - z(\tau)$, where $\tau \in [0,1)$ and $\Delta \in (0, 1-\tau]$.

1) The Bayesian predictive density based on the prior $\pi_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}$, where $\beta_i > 0$ $(i = 1,\ldots,d)$, is given by

$$p_\beta(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) = \prod_{i=1}^d \left\{\frac{\Gamma(z_i + (z_\Delta)_i + \beta_i)}{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)(z_\Delta)_i!} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i+\beta_i}\{t_i(\tau+\Delta) - t_i(\tau)\}^{(z_\Delta)_i}}{\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)\}^{z_i+(z_\Delta)_i+\beta_i}}\right\},$$

which is a product of negative binomial densities. In particular, when $\tau = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$,

$$p_\beta(y \mid x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \left\{\frac{\Gamma(x_i + y_i + \beta_i)}{\Gamma(x_i+\beta_i)y_i!} \frac{r_i^{x_i+\beta_i} s_i^{y_i}}{(r_i+s_i)^{x_i+y_i+\beta_i}}\right\},$$

where $r_i = t_i(0)$, $r_i + s_i = t_i(1)$, $x = z(1)$, and $y = z_{\Delta=1}(0)$.

2) The Bayesian predictive density based on the prior $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}/(\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d)^\alpha$, where $0 < \alpha < \beta_\bullet$, $\beta_i > 0$, and $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) &= p_\beta(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) \frac{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau+\Delta)\gamma_j} + 1\}^{z_j+(z_\Delta)_j+\beta_j}} \mathrm{d}u}{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau)\gamma_j} + 1\}^{z_j+\beta_j}} \mathrm{d}u} \\ &= p_\beta(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau)) \frac{K(t(\tau+\Delta)\gamma, z + z_\Delta + \beta, \alpha)}{K(t(\tau)\gamma, z + \beta, \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t\gamma := (t_1\gamma_1, t_2\gamma_2, \ldots, t_d\gamma_d)$.

In particular, when $\tau = 0$ and $\Delta = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(y \mid x) &= p_\beta(y \mid x) \frac{\displaystyle\int u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\{\frac{u}{(r_j+s_j)\gamma_j} + 1\}^{x_j+y_j+\beta_j}} \mathrm{d}u}{\displaystyle\int u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{(\frac{u}{r_j\gamma_j} + 1)^{x_j+\beta_j}} \mathrm{d}u} \\ &= p_\beta(y \mid x) \frac{K((r+s)\gamma, x + y + \beta, \alpha)}{K(r\gamma, x + \beta, \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_i = t_i(0)$, $r_i + s_i = t_i(1)$, $x = z(0)$, $y = z_{\Delta=1}(0)$, $r\gamma := (r_1\gamma_1, \ldots, r_d\gamma_d)$, and $(r+s)\gamma := ((r_1+s_1)\gamma_1, \ldots, (r_d+s_d)\gamma_d)$.

□

Lemma 3 below gives explicit forms of Bayesian estimators based on π_β and $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $z_i(\tau)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ are independently distributed according to the Poisson distribution with mean $t_i(\tau)\lambda_i$.

1) The posterior mean of λ with respect to the observation $z(\tau) = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ and the prior $\pi_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}$, where $\beta_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$, is given by

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z, \tau) := \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t_i(\tau)}.$$

2) The posterior mean of λ with respect to the observation $z(\tau) = (z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ and the prior $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}/(\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d)^\alpha$, where $0 < \alpha < \beta_\bullet$, $\beta_i > 0$, and $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_i^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(z, \tau) :=& \hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z, \tau) \frac{\displaystyle\int u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\left\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau)\gamma_j} + 1\right\}^{z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ij}}} \mathrm{d}u}{\displaystyle\int u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\left\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau)\gamma_j} + 1\right\}^{z_j+\beta_j}} \mathrm{d}u} \\ =& \hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z, \tau) \frac{K(t\gamma, z + \beta + \delta_i, \alpha)}{K(t\gamma, z + \beta, \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} is defined to be 1 if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$, and δ_i is defined to be the d-dimensional vector whose i-th element is 1 and all other elements are 0.

□

Let

$$f_i(t\gamma, z+\beta, \alpha) := \frac{K(t\gamma, z+\beta+\delta_i, \alpha)}{K(t\gamma, z+\beta, \alpha)}. \tag{8}$$

Then,

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(z,\tau) = \hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z,\tau) f_i(t(\tau)\gamma, z+\beta, \alpha).$$

Obviously, $0 < f_i(t\gamma, z+\beta, \alpha) < 1$. This inequality is natural because $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is a shrinkage prior.

In particular, if $t_1\gamma_1 = \cdots = t_d\gamma_d$, then

$$f_i(t\gamma, z+\beta, \alpha) = \frac{(t_1\gamma_1)^\alpha B(z_\cdot + \beta_\cdot + 1 - \alpha, \alpha)}{(t_1\gamma_1)^\alpha B(z_\cdot + \beta_\cdot - \alpha, \alpha)} = \frac{z_\cdot + \beta_\cdot - \alpha}{z_\cdot + \beta_\cdot},$$

which does not depend on $t_1\gamma_1$.

Now, we give the main theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that x_i and y_i $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ are independently distributed according to the Poisson distributions with mean $r_i\lambda_i$ and $s_i\lambda_i$, respectively. Let $p_\beta(y \mid x)$ be the Bayesian predictive density based on $\pi_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d - 1}$. Assume that $\beta_\cdot > 1$. Let $\pi_\beta^*(\lambda) := \pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1-1} \cdots \lambda_d^{\beta_d-1}/(\lambda_1/\gamma_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d/\gamma_d)^\alpha$ with

$$\alpha = \beta_\cdot - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i = \frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{r_i + s_i} \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, d).$$

Then, the risk of the Bayesian predictive density

$$p_\beta^*(y \mid x) = p_\beta(y \mid x) \frac{K\left(\dfrac{s}{r}, x + y + \beta, \alpha\right)}{K\left(\dfrac{s}{r+s}, x + \beta, \alpha\right)}$$

based on π_β^*, where

$$\frac{s}{r} := \left(\frac{s_1}{r_1}, \ldots, \frac{s_d}{r_d}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{s}{r+s} := \left(\frac{s_1}{r_1+s_1}, \ldots, \frac{s_d}{r_d+s_d}\right),$$

is smaller than that of $p_\beta(y \mid x)$ for every λ. □

If $d \geq 3$, there exists a Bayesian predictive density dominating that based on the Jeffreys prior (3) for $p(x \mid \lambda)$ because $\beta_\cdot = d/2 > 1$, as in the simple setting with $r_1 = \cdots = r_d$ and $s_1 = \cdots = s_d$ studied in Komaki (2004). Note that the prior π_β^* depends on r and s.

Before proving Theorem 1, we prepare Lemmas 4 and 5 below.

Lemma 4. Let $h(x)$ be a real valued function of $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, where $\mathbb{N}_0$ is the set of nonnegative integers. Suppose that x_i $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ are independently distributed according to the Poisson distribution with mean λ_i. If $\mathrm{E}\big[|x_i h(x)| \mid \lambda\big] < \infty$, then

$$\mathrm{E}[x_i h(x) \mid \lambda] = \mathrm{E}[\lambda_i h(x + \delta_i) \mid \lambda].$$

□

Lemma 5. Suppose that $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x_\bullet > 0$, and $0 < \alpha < x_\bullet$. Then, the following relations hold.

1)
$$\alpha K(\gamma, x, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{x_i}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x + \delta_i, \alpha + 1). \tag{9}$$

2)
$$\gamma_i K(\gamma, x, \alpha) = K(\gamma, x + \delta_i, \alpha + 1) + \gamma_i K(\gamma, x + \delta_i, \alpha). \tag{10}$$

3) Let $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then,
$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i K(\gamma, x + \delta_i, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{b_\bullet x_i}{\alpha \gamma_i} - \frac{b_i}{\gamma_i} \right) K(\gamma, x + \delta_i, \alpha + 1). \tag{11}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$\frac{1}{t_i(\tau)} = \frac{1}{r_i}(1 - \tau) + \frac{1}{r_i + s_i}\tau \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Then,

$$t_i(\tau) = r_i \frac{1 + \dfrac{s_i}{r_i}}{1 + \dfrac{s_i}{r_i}(1 - \tau)}$$

is a smooth monotonically increasing function of $\tau \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $t_i(0) = r_i$ and $t_i(1) = r_i + s_i$. Here, $\dot{t}_i / t_i = \gamma_i t_i$ since $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\{1/t_i(\tau)\} = -\dot{t}_i / t_i^2 = -1/r_i + 1/(r_i + s_i) = -\gamma_i$. We call τ the harmonic time because τ is the weight of the weighted harmonic mean $t_i(\tau)$ of r_i and $r_i + s_i$.

By Lemma 3, the posterior mean of λ with respect to π_β is

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z, \tau) = \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t_i(\tau)},$$

and the posterior mean λ with respect to π_β^* is

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta*)}(z, \tau) = \hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z, \tau) f_i(\gamma t(\tau), z + \beta, \beta_\bullet - 1) = \frac{z_i + \beta_i}{t_i(\tau)} f_i(\gamma t(\tau), z + \beta, \beta_\bullet - 1).$$

Thus, from Lemma 1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_i \mathrm{E}\Bigg[\ \dot{t}_i(\tau)\left\{\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z(\tau),\tau)-\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta*)}(z(\tau),\tau)-\lambda_i\log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)}(z(\tau),\tau)}{\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta*)}(z(\tau),\tau)}\right\}\ \Bigg|\ \lambda\Bigg]$$
$$=\sum_i \mathrm{E}\Bigg[\dot{t}_i(\tau)\frac{z_i(\tau)+\beta_i}{t_i(\tau)}\Big\{1-f_i(\gamma t(\tau),z(\tau)+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\Big\}$$
$$+\frac{\dot{t}_i(\tau)}{t_i(\tau)}t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\log f_i(\gamma t(\tau),z(\tau)+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\ \Bigg|\ \lambda\Bigg] \tag{12}$$

is positive for every $\tau\in[0,1]$ and λ. Define $\bar{f}_i(\gamma t,z-\delta_i+\beta,\alpha)=f_i(\gamma t,z-\delta_i+\beta,\alpha)$ if $z_i\geq 1$ and $\bar{f}_i(\gamma t,z-\delta_i+\beta,\alpha)=1$ if $z_i=0$. Then, by Lemma 4, (12) is equal to

$$\mathrm{E}\Bigg[\sum_i\frac{\dot{t}_i(\tau)}{t_i(\tau)}(z_i(\tau)+\beta_i)\Big\{1-f_i(\gamma t(\tau),z(\tau)+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\Big\}$$
$$+\sum_i\frac{\dot{t}_i(\tau)}{t_i(\tau)}z_i(\tau)\log\bar{f}_i(\gamma t(\tau),z(\tau)-\delta_i+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\ \Bigg|\ \lambda\Bigg] \tag{13}$$

since $z_i(\tau)$ is independently distributed according to the Poisson distribution with mean $t_i(\tau)\lambda_i$. Note that (13) is the expectation of functions of $z(\tau)$ not depending on λ.

First, we evaluate the first term in the expectation in (13). By using (8) and (10),

$$1-f_i(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)=1-\frac{K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot-1)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}$$
$$=1-\frac{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)-\dfrac{1}{\gamma_i t_i}K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}$$
$$=\frac{K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot)}{\gamma_i t_i K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}. \tag{14}$$

From $\dot{t}_i/t_i=\gamma_i t_i$ and (14), we have

$$\sum_i\frac{\dot{t}_i}{t_i}(z_i+\beta_i)\{1-f_i(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\}=\frac{\sum_i(z_i+\beta_i)K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}. \tag{15}$$

If $z_\cdot=0$, then $z_1=\cdots=z_d=0$ and

$$\sum_i\frac{\dot{t}_i}{t_i}(z_i+\beta_i)\{1-f_i(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\}=\frac{\sum_i\beta_i K(\gamma t,\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t,\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}>0.$$

If $z_\cdot\geq 1$, from (15), (11), and (9), we have

$$\sum_i\frac{\dot{t}_i}{t_i}(z_i+\beta_i)\{1-f_i(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)\}$$
$$=\frac{\sum_i\left\{\dfrac{(z_\cdot+\beta_\cdot)(z_i+\beta_i)}{\beta_\cdot\gamma_i t_i}-\dfrac{z_i+\beta_i}{\gamma_i t_i}\right\}K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot+1)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}$$
$$=\frac{\dfrac{z_\cdot}{\beta_\cdot}\sum_i\dfrac{z_i+\beta_i}{\gamma_i t_i}K(\gamma t,z+\beta+\delta_i,\beta_\cdot+1)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}$$
$$=\frac{z_\cdot}{\beta_\cdot}\frac{\beta_\cdot K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}=z_\cdot\frac{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t,z+\beta,\beta_\cdot-1)}.$$

Next, we evaluate the second term in the expectation in (13). We have

$$\frac{\dot{t}_i}{t} z_i \log \bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1) = -\gamma_i t_i z_i \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1)} - 1 + 1 \right\}.$$

From (8) and (14), if $z_i \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1)} - 1 = \frac{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot)}{\gamma_i t_i K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)}.$$

Thus, when $z_i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{t}_i}{t} z_i \log \bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1) =& -\gamma_i t_i z_i \log \left\{ \frac{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot)}{\gamma_i t_i K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)} + 1 \right\} \\ >& - z_i \frac{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $z_i = 0$, the equality

$$\frac{\dot{t}_i}{t} z_i \log \bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1) = -z_i \frac{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)} = 0$$

obviously holds. Thus, for every z,

$$\sum_i \frac{\dot{t}_i}{t} z_i \log \bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1) \geq -z_\cdot \frac{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot)}{K(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)}.$$

The inequality is strict if $z_\cdot \geq 1$.

Hence, for every $z \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$,

$$\sum_i \frac{\dot{t}_i}{t_i}(z_i+\beta_i)\{1 - f_i(\gamma t, z+\beta, \beta_\cdot - 1)\} + \sum_i \frac{\dot{t}_i}{t} z_i \log \bar{f}_i(\gamma t, z+\beta-\delta_i, \beta_\cdot - 1) > 0$$

Therefore, (13) is greater than 0 for every $\tau \in [0,1]$ and λ. Thus, we have proved the desired result. □

4 Relative invariance of the prior along with the harmonic time τ

In this section, π^*_β in Theorem 1 is denoted by $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ to indicate its dependence on $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_d)$ and $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ explicitly. The prior $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ depends on r and s through $(1/r_1 - 1/(r_1 + s_1), \ldots, 1/r_d - 1/(r_d + s_d))$ because $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s} = \pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ with $\alpha = \beta_\cdot$ and $\gamma_i = 1/r_i - 1/(r_i + s_i)$. If there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r'_i} - \frac{1}{r'_i + s'_i} = c\left(\frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{r_i + s_i}\right)$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, then $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ is proportional $\pi^*_{\beta,r',s'}$ because $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,c\gamma} \propto \pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Consider the harmonic time $\tau \in (-\infty, \min_i(r_i/s_i) + 1)$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{t_i(\tau)} = \frac{1}{r_i}(1-\tau) + \frac{1}{r_i + s_i}\tau.$$

The discussions in previous sections are essentially valid if the time interval $[0,1]$ is extended to $(-\infty, \min_i(r_i/s_i)+1)$. Suppose that we observe $z(a)$, where $a \in (-\infty, \min_i(r_i/s_i)+1)$, and predict $z(b)-z(a)$, where $b \in (a, \min_i(r_i/s_i)+1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{t_i(a)}-\frac{1}{t_i(b)} =& \left\{\frac{1}{r_i}(1-a)+\frac{1}{r_i+s_i}a\right\}-\left\{\frac{1}{r_i}(1-b)+\frac{1}{r_i+s_i}b\right\}\\ =&(b-a)\left(\frac{1}{r_i}-\frac{1}{r_i+s_i}\right),\end{aligned}$$

the prior $\pi^*_{\beta,r/(b-a),s/(b-a)}$ for this prediction problem is proportional to the prior $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ for the original prediction problem in which we observe $z(0)$ and predict $z(1)-z(0)$. In this sense, the prior constructed by Theorem 1 is relatively invariant along with the harmonic time τ. This relative invariance corresponds to the fact that the estimators $\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta*)}(\cdot,\tau)$ based on $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ is superior in the risk (5) for all τ and is one reason why the harmonic time τ is useful to investigate the original prediction problem.

Next, we discuss the relation between the results in previous sections and the asymptotic theory (Komaki, 2013) for general models when $x(i)$ $(i=1,\ldots,N)$ and y have different distributions $p(x \mid \theta)$ and $p(y \mid \theta)$ with the same parameter θ. The predictive metric $\mathring{g}_{ij}$ is defined by $\sum_{k,l} g_{ik}\tilde{g}^{kl}g_{jl}$, where (g_{ij}) and $(\tilde{g}_{ij})$ are the Fisher information matrices for $p(x \mid \theta)$ and $p(y \mid \theta)$, respectively, and the $d \times d$ matrix $(\tilde{g}^{ij})$ is the inverse matrix of $(\tilde{g}_{ij})$. In the asymptotic theory, the predictive metric $\mathring{g}_{ij}$ and the volume element $|\mathring{g}|^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\theta^1\cdots\mathrm{d}\theta^d$ of it correspond to the Fisher–Rao metric and the Jeffreys prior, respectively, in the conventional setting.

In the prediction problem for independent time-inhomogeneous Poisson processes with the harmonic time τ, the Fisher information matrix (g_{ij}) for $p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)$ and the Fisher information matrix $(\tilde{g}_{ij})$ for $p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda)$ are given by

$$g_{ij}(\lambda;\tau)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{t_i(\tau)}{\lambda_i} & (i=j)\\ 0 & (i\neq j)\end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{ij}(\lambda;\tau)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)}{\lambda_i} & (i=j)\\ 0 & (i\neq j)\end{cases},$$

respectively. When Δ is small, $\tilde{g}_{ii}(\lambda;\tau)=\dot{t}_i(\tau)\Delta/\lambda_i+\mathrm{o}(\Delta)$. We define the infinitesimal predictive metric by

$$\mathring{g}_{ij}(\lambda;\tau):=\lim_{\Delta\to 0}\Delta\sum_{k,l}g_{ik}\tilde{g}^{ij}g_{jl}=\begin{cases}\dfrac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^2}{\dot{t}_i(\tau)\lambda_i}=\dfrac{r_i(r_i+s_i)}{\lambda_i} & (i=j)\\ 0 & (i\neq j)\end{cases}, \tag{16}$$

which is the limit of the predictive metric as $\Delta\to 0$. The last equality in (16) is because the relations $\dot{t}_i^{\,2}(\tau)/t_i(\tau)=r_i(r_i+s_i)$ $(i=1,\ldots,d)$ holds for the harmonic time τ. The volume element prior based on $\mathring{g}_{ij}(\lambda;\tau)$ is defined by $\pi_{\mathrm{P}}(\lambda;\tau)=|\mathring{g}_{ij}(\lambda;\tau)|^{1/2}$ and is proportional to the

Jeffreys prior $\pi_{\mathrm{J}}(\lambda) \propto \prod_i {\lambda_i}^{-1/2}$. Thus, when the harmonic time τ is adopted, the infinitesimal predictive metric and the volume element prior based on it do not depend on τ. Intuitively speaking, the geometrical structures of infinitesimal prediction are identical for all τ. Hence, there exists a prior superior for infinitesimal predictions for all τ and the prior is also superior for the original prediction problem. More specifically, the ratio $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}(\lambda)/\pi_{\mathrm{P}}(\lambda;\tau)$ does not depend on τ and is a nonconstant positive superharmonic function with respect to the predictive metric $\mathring{g}_{ij}(\lambda;\tau)$ for every τ, see Komaki (2013) for details. This property of the harmonic time τ is closely related to the relative invariance of the prior $\pi^*_{\beta,r,s}$ along with τ.

Appendix. Proofs of Lemmas

Proof of Lemma 1. 1) First, we prove (4). We have

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[D\{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))\} \;\Big|\; \lambda\Big] = \sum_{z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau)} p(z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log \frac{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda)}{p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))}$$
$$= \sum_{z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau)} p(z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p(z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda) - \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)$$
$$- \sum_{z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau)} p(z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_\pi(z(\tau), z_\Delta(\tau)) + \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_\pi(z(\tau)).$$

The conditional density $p(z(\tau) \mid z(\tau+\Delta), \lambda)$ does not depend on λ because of the sufficiency of $z(\tau+\Delta) = z(\tau) + z_\Delta(\tau)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\Big[D\{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))\} \;\Big|\; \lambda\Big] \\
&= \sum_{z(\tau), z(\tau+\Delta)} p(z(\tau), z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) \log\{p(z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) p(z(\tau) \mid z(\tau+\Delta))\} \\
&\quad - \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \\
&\quad - \sum_{z(\tau), z(\tau+\Delta)} p(z(\tau), z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) \log\{p_\pi(z(\tau+\Delta)) p(z(\tau) \mid z(\tau+\Delta))\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_\pi(z(\tau)) \\
&= \sum_{z(\tau+\Delta)} p(z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) \log p(z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) - \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \\
&\quad - \sum_{z(\tau+\Delta)} p(z(\tau+\Delta) \mid \lambda) \log p_\pi(z(\tau+\Delta)) + \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_\pi(z(\tau)).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta} \mathrm{E}\Big[D\{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))\} \;\Big|\; \lambda\Big]\Big|_{\Delta=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \sum_z p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log \frac{p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)}{p_\pi(z(\tau))} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} D\{p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z(\tau))\}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

because $\mathrm{E}\Big[D\{p(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid \lambda), p_\pi(z_\Delta(\tau) \mid z(\tau))\} \;\Big|\; \lambda\Big] = 0$ when $\Delta = 0$.

Next, we prove (5). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\prod_{i=1}^d z_j \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i - \delta_{ij}}}{z_i!} \dot{t}_j(\tau) \lambda_j \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} - \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \dot{t}_j(\tau) \lambda_j \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} \right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\prod_{i=1}^d z_j \frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} - \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)} t_j(\tau) \lambda_j \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} \right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)} \{z_j - t_j(\tau)\lambda_j\} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda).
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}p_\pi(z(\tau)) = \sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)}\{z_j - t_j(\tau)\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)\}p_\pi(z(\tau)). \tag{20}$$

From Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}\{z_j - t_j(\tau)\lambda_j\}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log p_\pi(z(\tau))\\ &=\sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}t_j(\tau)\lambda_j p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log\frac{p_\pi(z(\tau)+\delta_j)}{p_\pi(z(\tau))}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}p_\pi(z(\tau)+\delta_j) &= \int\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i+\delta_{ij}}}{(z_i+\delta_{ij})!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i\lambda_i}\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\\ &=\int\frac{t_j(\tau)\lambda_j}{z_j+1}\prod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{d}}\frac{\{t_i(\tau)\lambda_i\}^{z_i}}{z_i!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i}\pi(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\frac{p_\pi(z(\tau)+\delta_j)}{p_\pi(z(\tau))} = \frac{t_j(\tau)\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)}{z_j+1}. \tag{22}$$

From (19), (20), (21), (22), and Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\sum_z p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log p_\pi(z(\tau))\\ &=\sum_z\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\right\}\log p_\pi(z(\tau)) + \sum_z p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}p_\pi(z(\tau))}{p_\pi(z(\tau))}\\ &=\sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)}t_j(\tau)\lambda_j p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log\frac{p_\pi(z(\tau)+\delta_j)}{p_\pi(z(\tau))}\\ &\quad+\sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\frac{\dot{t}_j(\tau)}{t_j(\tau)}\{z_j - t_j(\tau)\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)\}\\ &=\sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\dot{t}_j(\tau)\lambda_j\log\frac{t_j(\tau)\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)}{z_j+1} + \sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\dot{t}_j(\tau)\{\lambda_j - \hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\sum_z p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda) = \sum_z\sum_{j=1}^{d}p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\dot{t}_j(\tau)\lambda_j\log\frac{t_j(\tau)\lambda_j}{z_j+1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\sum_z p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\log\frac{p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)}{p_\pi(z(\tau))}\\ &=\sum_z p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\sum_{j=1}^{d}\dot{t}_j(\tau)\lambda_j\left\{\frac{\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)}{\lambda_j} - 1 - \log\frac{\hat{\lambda}_j^\pi(z,\tau)}{\lambda_j}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

2) From (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\big[D(p(y \mid \lambda), p_{\pi'}(y \mid x)) \,\big|\, \lambda\big] - \mathrm{E}\big[D(p(y \mid \lambda), p_{\pi}(y \mid x)) \,\big|\, \lambda\big] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\Big[D\big\{p(z_{\Delta=1}(0) \mid \lambda), p_{\pi'}(z_{\Delta=1}(0) \mid z(0))\big\} \,\Big|\, \lambda\Big] \\
&\quad - \mathrm{E}\Big[D\big\{p(z_{\Delta=1}(0) \mid \lambda), p_{\pi}(z_{\Delta=1}(0) \mid z(0))\big\} \,\Big|\, \lambda\Big] \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_{\pi}(z(\tau)) \mathrm{d}\tau - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \sum_{z(\tau)} p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda) \log p_{\pi'}(z(\tau)) \mathrm{d}\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the desired results (6) and (7) from (4) and (5), respectively. □

Proof of Lemma 2. 1) Let $z_i = z_i(\tau)$ and $z'_i = (z_\Delta)_i(\tau)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int p(z \mid \lambda) \pi_\beta(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i} \lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i} \Gamma(z_i + \beta_i)}{z_i! t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int p(z,\, z' \mid \lambda) \pi_\beta(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&\quad = \int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i} \{t_i(\tau+\Delta) - t_i(\tau)\}^{z'_i} \lambda_i^{z_i+z'_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i! z'_i!} \mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau+\Delta)\lambda_i} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \\
&\quad = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i} \{t_i(\tau+\Delta) - t_i(\tau)\}^{z'_i} \Gamma(z_i + z'_i + \beta_i)}{z_i! z'_i! \{t_i(\tau+\Delta)\}^{z_i+z'_i+\beta_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From $p_\beta(z' \mid z) = p_\beta(z, z')/p_\beta(z)$, we have the desired result.

2) If $\gamma_i > 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ and $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-u \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\lambda_i}{\gamma_i}\right) \mathrm{d}u = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\lambda_i}{\gamma_i})^\alpha}.$$

Thus,

$$\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i^{\beta_i-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-u \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\lambda_j}{\gamma_j}\right) \mathrm{d}u. \tag{23}$$

Therefore, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\alpha)p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z) &= \Gamma(\alpha)\int p(z \mid \lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&= \int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i}\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\exp\biggl(-u\sum_j \frac{\lambda_j}{\gamma_j}\biggr)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d \\
&= \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!}\mathrm{e}^{-\{\frac{u}{\gamma_i}+t_i(\tau)\}\lambda_i}\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)}{z_i!\{\frac{u}{\gamma_i}+t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i+\beta_i}}\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \biggl[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)}{z_i!\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_j+\beta_i}}\biggr]\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\{\frac{u}{t_i(\tau)\gamma_i}+1\}^{z_j+\beta_i}}\mathrm{d}u
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma(\alpha)p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z,z') = \Gamma(\alpha)\int p(z,z' \mid \lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&= \int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i'}\lambda_i^{z_i+z_i'+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!z_i'!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau+\Delta)\lambda_i} \\
&\quad\times\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\exp\biggl(-u\sum_j \frac{\lambda_j}{\gamma_j}\biggr)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d \\
&= \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\int \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i'}\lambda_i^{z_i+z_i'+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!z_i'!}\mathrm{e}^{-\{\frac{u}{\gamma_i}+t_i(\tau+\Delta)\}\lambda_i}\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i'}\Gamma(z_i+z_i'+\beta_i)}{z_i!z_i'!\{\frac{u}{\gamma_i}+t_i(\tau+\Delta)\}^{z_i+z_i'+\beta_i}}\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \biggl[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\{t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i}\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)-t_i(\tau)\}^{z_i'}\Gamma(z_i+z_i'+\beta_i)}{z_i!z_i'!\{t_i(\tau+\Delta)\}^{z_i+z_i'+\beta_i}}\biggr]\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau+\Delta)\gamma_j}+1\}^{z_j+z_j'+\beta_j}}\mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the desired result from $p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z' \mid z) = p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z,z')/p_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z)$. □

Proof of Lemma 3. 1) The posterior mean of λ_i with respect to π_β is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\beta)} &:= \frac{\int \lambda_i p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)\pi_\beta(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\int p(z(\tau) \mid \lambda)\pi_\beta(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{\displaystyle\int \lambda_i \prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_j^{z_j+\beta_i-1}}{z_j!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_j}\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d}{\displaystyle\int\prod_{k=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_k^{z_k+\beta_k-1}}{z_k!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_k(\tau)\lambda_k}\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d} \\
&= \frac{\dfrac{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i+1)}{t_i(\tau)^{z_i+\beta_i+1}}\displaystyle\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Gamma(z_j+\beta_i)}{t_k(\tau)^{z_k+\beta_i}}}{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{d}\frac{\Gamma(z_k+\beta_k)}{t_k(\tau)^{z_k+\beta_k}}} = \frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t_i(\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

2) By using (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma(\alpha)\int p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\\
&=\int\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_i(\tau)\lambda_i}\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\exp\Big(-u\sum_j\frac{\lambda_j}{\gamma_j}\Big)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d\\
&=\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\int\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_i^{z_i+\beta_i-1}}{z_i!}\mathrm{e}^{-\{t_i(\tau)+\frac{u}{\gamma_i}\}\lambda_i}\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d\mathrm{d}u\\
&=\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\Gamma(z_i+\beta_i)}{z_i!}\right\}\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\left\{t_j(\tau)+\frac{u}{\gamma_j}\right\}^{z_j+\beta_j}}\mathrm{d}u,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma(\alpha)\int\lambda_i p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda\\
&=\int\lambda_i\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_j^{z_j+\beta_j-1}}{z_j!}\mathrm{e}^{-t_j(\tau)\lambda_j}\right)\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\exp\Big(-u\sum_k\frac{\lambda_k}{\gamma_k}\Big)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\lambda_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\lambda_d\\
&=\frac{\Gamma\left(z_i+\beta_i+1\right)}{z_i!}\left\{\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Gamma\left(z_j+\beta_j\right)}{z_j!}\right\}\\
&\quad\times\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\frac{1}{\left\{t_i(\tau)+\frac{u}{\gamma_i}\right\}^{z_i+\beta_i+1}}\left[\prod_{k\neq i}\frac{1}{\left\{t_k(\tau)+\frac{u}{\gamma_k}\right\}^{z_k+\beta_k}}\right]\mathrm{d}u.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the posterior mean of λ with respect to $\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is given by

$$\hat{\lambda}_i^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}:=\frac{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty\lambda_i p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty p(z(\tau)\mid\lambda)\pi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\lambda)\mathrm{d}\lambda}=\frac{z_i+\beta_i}{t_i(\tau)}\frac{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\left\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau)\gamma_j}+1\right\}^{z_j+\beta_j+\delta_{ij}}}\mathrm{d}u}{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1}\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\left\{\frac{u}{t_j(\tau)\gamma_j}+1\right\}^{z_j+\beta_j}}\mathrm{d}u}.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 4. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[x_i h(x)\mid\lambda]=&\sum_x\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_j^{x_j}}{x_j!}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_j}x_i h(x)=\sum_x\prod_{j=1}^{d}\lambda_i\frac{\lambda_j^{x_j}}{x_j!}\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_j}h(x+\delta_i)\\
=&\mathrm{E}[\lambda_i h(x+\delta_i)\mid\lambda].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 5. 1) By partial integration,

$$\begin{aligned}K(\gamma,\, x, \alpha) =& \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_i+1)^{x_i}} \mathrm{d}u \\ =& \left[\frac{u^\alpha}{\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_i+1)^{x_i}}\right]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty \frac{u^\alpha}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{\prod_{j\neq i} \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_j+1)^{x_j}}\right\} \frac{x_i/\gamma_i}{(u/\gamma_i+1)^{x_i+1}} \mathrm{d}u \\ =& \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_i \frac{x_i}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1).\end{aligned}$$

2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1) =& \int_0^\infty u^\alpha \Big\{\prod_j \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_j+1)^{x_j}}\Big\} \frac{1}{u/\gamma_i+1} \mathrm{d}u \\ =& \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} \Big\{\prod_j \frac{1}{(u/\gamma_j+1)^{x_j}}\Big\} \frac{1}{u/\gamma_i+1} \gamma_i(u/\gamma_i+1-1) \mathrm{d}u \\ =& \gamma_i K(\gamma, x, \alpha) - \gamma_i K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha).\end{aligned}$$

3) From (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i b_i K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha) =& \sum_i b_i \left\{K(\gamma, x, \alpha) - \frac{1}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1)\right\} \\ =& \frac{b_\bullet}{\alpha} \alpha K(\gamma, x, \alpha) - \sum_i \frac{b_i}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1).\end{aligned}$$

By using (9),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i b_i K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha) =& \frac{b_\bullet}{\alpha} \sum_i \frac{x_i}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1) - \sum_i \frac{b_i}{\gamma_i} K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1) \\ =& \sum_i \left(\frac{b_\bullet}{\alpha} \frac{x_i}{\gamma_i} - \frac{b_i}{\gamma_i}\right) K(\gamma, x+\delta_i, \alpha+1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (23300104, 23650144) and by the Aihara Project, the FIRST program from JSPS, initiated by CSTP.

References

Dawid, A. P. (1983). Invariant prior distributions. In Kotz, S., Johnson, N. L., Read, C. B. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, vol. 4.* Wiley-Interscience, New York, 228–236.

George, E. I., Liang, F. & Xu, X. (2006). Improved minimax predictive densities under Kullback–Leibler loss. *Annals of Statistics* **34**, 78–91.

George, E. I., Liang, F. & Xu, X. (2012). From minimax shrinkage estimation to minimax shrinkage prediction. *Statistical Science* **27**, 82–94.

George, E. I. & Xu, X. (2008). Predictive density estimation for multiple regression. *Econometric Theory* **24**, 528–544.

Ghosh, M. & Yang, M.-C. (1988). Simultaneous estimation of Poisson means under entropy loss. *Annals of Statistics* **16**, 278–291.

Kobayashi, K. & Komaki, F. (2008). Bayesian shrinkage prediction for the regression problem. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **99**, 1888–1905.

Komaki, F. (2001). A shrinkage predictive distribution for multivariate normal observables. *Biometrika* **88**, 859–864.

Komaki, F. (2004). Simultaneous prediction of independent Poisson observables. *Annals of Statistics* **32**, 1744–1769.

Komaki, F. (2006). A class of proper priors for Bayesian simultaneous prediction of independent Poisson observables. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **97**, 1815–1828.

Komaki, F. (2013). Asymptotic properties of Bayesian predictive densities when the distributions of data and target variables are different, submitted.